Identification and Characterization of a Positive Regulatory cis-element Within the Upstream Region of c-jun

Shivani Agarwal, Raj Kumar*, Preeti Gupta and Aparna Dixit[†]

Gene Regulation Laboratory, School of Biotechnology, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi 110067, India

Received August 31, 2008; accepted September 15, 2008; published online October 3, 2008

Jun oncoprotein, a component of transcription factor AP-1, is responsible for expression of multiple genes which trigger cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis. The diverse regulatory roles that c-Jun plays point towards its varied and complex transcriptional regulation under different physiological conditions. This could possibly involve an interplay of different trans-acting factors and multiple cis -acting elements present in the c -jun. It is, therefore, important to identify such regulatory elements that could be involved in its stringent up- or down-regulation. In the present study, transient transfection analysis with stepwise deletion variants of the upstream region $(-563 \text{ to } -273)$, linked to basal *c-jun* promoter in a reporter plasmid revealed the existence of multiple regulatory regions that modulated gene expression. Further delineation of the region, conferring positive regulation of transcription from the $c-jun$ promoter, led to the identification of a cis -acting element spanning -538 to -514 region. The *trans*-acting factor(s) present in rat liver nuclear extract interacted specifically only in the phosphorylated form, and with a high affinity to the recognition sequence. UV cross-linking and South-western blotting, in conjunction with the analysis of affinity purified proteins interacting with this region, revealed that at least two protein factors of ${\sim}45\,\text{kDa}$ and ${\sim}34\,\text{kDa}$ bind specifically to this region.

Key words: c-jun, cis-acting element, EMSA, positive regulatory factor, transcriptional regulation.

Abbreviations: AP-1, activator protein-1; RNE-d, rat liver nuclear extract fraction-d; EMSA, electrophoretic mobility shift assay; SS-DNA, salmon sperm DNA; CIAP, calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase; RLjunRP, rat liver jun regulatory protein.

Regulation of activities of different transcription factors is essential for appropriate temporal and spatial patterns of gene expression. Constitutive expression of endogenous nuclear oncoprotein products has the potential to induce neoplastic transformation. The c-Jun, an immediate early gene product is a component of sequence-specific AP-1 transcription factor and a molecular integrator of several signal transduction pathways (1–3). Cellular expression of this protein is significantly altered in response to a variety of external stimuli including phorbol ester tumour promoters, growth factors, cytokines and UV irradiations. The c-Jun being a component of AP-1 also elicits a wide variety of biological activities depending on the cellular background and has been implicated in the control of differentiation, apoptosis and oncogenesis (4–6).

The role of c -jun in tumourigenesis has been primarily attributed to the aberrant expression of multiple genes under its control (7). Identification of genes down-regulated in jun-transformed fibroblasts has unraveled direct molecular targets of Jun/AP-1 complex. Differential expression of the target genes of c-Jun thereof leads to the conversion of a normal fibroblast into a transformed phenotype $(8-11)$. Enhanced transcription of *c-jun* and its translation have been reported to correlate well with the state of cell differentiation in retinoic acid-treated teratocarcinoma cell line (12). Also, the alteration in the *c-jun* mRNA expression upon differentiation of acute myeloid leukaemic HL60 cells is lineage specific, as its expression increased upon differentiation of these cells to macrophages but not to granulocytes (13, 14).

Transcriptional regulation of c-jun represents a highly complex and important nodal point for diverse cellular signaling pathways. The downstream effectors playing a key role in c-Jun induced signal transduction are quite well documented; however, the exact mechanism(s) underlying its own expression during various cellular processes are poorly understood. Positive auto-regulation of c -jun by AP-1 is well established (15) . The feedback mechanisms that up-regulate its own transcription, is facilitated by overexpression of c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) (16). It has also been documented that sites further upstream of the AP-1 have a role in the transcriptional regulation of c -jun (17, 18). The studies on the activation of c-jun in response to external stimuli via involvement of functional AP-1 sites have uncovered a complex network of protein–DNA interactions; however,

The Authors 2008. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Japanese Biochemical Society. All rights reserved.

[†]To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +91-11-26742164/26704085, Fax: +91-11-26717561, E-mail: adix2100 @mail.jnu.ac.in; adixit7@yahoo.com; adixit7@hotmail.com *Present address: Raj Kumar, Division of Health and Reproductive Sciences, Lexington, KY 40202, USA

the role of upstream sequences in the regulation of c -jun transcription is yet unexplored. Role of preformed complexes in the upstream regions of the c-jun responsible for UV-induced *c-jun* expression have also been reported (19). A positive regulatory factor from rat liver, interacting with the -148 to -124 region of *c-jun*, involved in the differential regulation of c -*jun* transcription under different physiological conditions, has earlier been reported (20, 21).

The formation of protein complexes and their interaction with DNA influence the transcriptional machinery that enables specific expression of genes at a precise time and in response to appropriate stimuli. An emerging paradigm suggests that variety of mechanisms exist that allow individual cis-elements to interact with a specific trans-acting factor(s) under different physiological conditions. This preliminary interaction acts as a nucleation site to which a series of accessory proteins are recruited, and regulate transcription. Considering the variety of roles the c-Jun protein plays, an intricate and complex regulation of its transcription in different cellular processes is expected. Till date, a number of cis-acting regulatory sites up to 200 bp upstream of the transcription start site in the c-jun have been identified. However, the possibility of other regulatory molecular switches that could be turned on/off under variety of different physiological conditions, involving multiple cis-acting elements that are recognized by myriad of trans-acting factors, located further upstream cannot be ruled out. It is likely that the trans-acting factors that interact with yet unidentified regulatory sites located further upstream of the c-jun promoter are targeted by different signaling molecules under varied physiological conditions/stimuli. Therefore, in order to identify other molecular switches that could regulate *c-jun* expression, upstream region of c-jun was analysed for its transcriptional regulatory activity. This resulted in identification of yet another cis-acting element located between -538 and -514 region of c-jun that up-regulates its transcription.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains, Animals, Plasmids, Reagents and Chemicals—Escherichia coli DH5a strain was obtained from GIBCO-BRL. Plasmid $-1100/+170$ jun-CAT was a kind gift from Dr Peter Angel, Institute for Genetik, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, GmBH Postfach 3640 D-76021, Karlsruhe, Germany. Healthy Wistar strain rats weighing 150–170g were maintained at the Animal Facility of Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi, India. Animals were fed standard rat chow ad libitum. The guidelines prescribed by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee, JNU, New Delhi, India were followed while handling animals.

All the chemicals used in the study were of analytical grade and were from Sigma Chemical Co., USA unless stated otherwise. The reagents required for DNA manipulation were procured from New England Biolabs, USA or Promega Corporation, USA. The radioactive nucleotide α -³²P[dCTP], used in the study was from Board of Radiation and Isotope Technology, Hyderabad, India.

DNA manipulations were carried out using standard protocols unless otherwise stated (22). Oligonucleotides and primers used in the study were synthesized by Microsynth, Switzerland.

Fractionation of Nuclear Extract—Animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and the extracted liver was washed in chilled saline and nuclear extract was prepared as described (23). Partial hepatectomy (70%) was performed on animals as described (24). The fraction designated RNE-d consisting of maximum RNA polymerase II activity and vital transcription factors was used in electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) and other studies.

Transient Transfection and Reporter Gene Assay— Construction of reporter plasmids

The transcription efficiency of various plasmids harbouring upstream regions of c-jun was assessed by monitoring the reporter gene (GFP) expression in transient transfection assay (25, 26). To identify the regulatory sequences present within -563 to -273 region of the *c-jun*, several fragments harbouring 5'- end deletions of this region were generated by PCR using plasmid $-1100/+170$ jun-CAT as a template using region specific primers and Vent DNA polymerase (Promega, USA). The PCR amplified fragments were cloned in the end-filled SalI digested plasmid p123jun-eGFP upstream of the basal c-jun promoter (20). The transformants were screened for the presence of the insert by restriction enzyme digestion and confirmed by DNA sequencing analysis.

In order to evaluate the potential of -538 to -514 region in modulating total c-jun promoter activity, reporter constructs harbouring -538 to $+53$ and -514 to $+53$ region of c-jun were made. For this purpose, the respective fragments were generated by PCR amplification using region-specific primers and the plasmid $-1100/+170$ jun-CAT as a template. The AseI and EcoRI sites were incorporated in the forward and reverse primers for convenient cloning. The PCR amplified fragments, digested with the AseI and EcoRI sites, were cloned in AseI and EcoRI digested peGFP-N1 vector (Clontech, Ltd). Cloning of the promoter fragments in pEGFP-N1 vector with these enzymes resulted in the replacement of CMV promoter with the *c-jun* promoter fragments, thereby placing GFP under their control. Putative recombinants were confirmed by AseI and EcoRI digestion followed by DNA sequencing. The constructs were designated as p538jun-eGFP and p514jun-eGFP.

A positive regulatory element, spanning -148 to -124 region of c-jun, had earlier been identified in our laboratory (20). In order to assess if the positive regulatory element (Jun-25_{SA} spanning -538 to -514 region) identified in the present study, exert a synergistic or additive effect on c-jun transcription, oligonucleotides encompassing the -538 to -514 region was cloned in the SalI digested p148jun-eGFP. The transformants were confirmed for the presence and the orientation of the insert by ApaI digestion and DNA sequencing. The promoter chimeric construct, thus constructed, was designated as p148jun25b-eGFP. Plasmid DNA for transfection assays was prepared using Qiagen DNA preparation kit from Qiagen, Germany, as per the manufacturer's instructions.

Transient transfection analysis

CHO cells used for the transfection were maintained in RPMI (Biological Industries, Israel) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (heat inactivated), 100 U/ml penicillin and $100 \mu g/ml$ streptomycin at 37° C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. Transfection of CHO cells with the reporter plasmid constructs was carried out using lipofectamine reagent essentially as described earlier. The cells were transfected with equimolar concentrations of the test plasmids similarly to the 2.5μ g of control plasmid and 5μ g of the lipofectamine reagent. One microgram of pSV40-b-gal plasmid was co-transfected with the test plasmids. The cells were lysed 48 h post-transfection and the lysates were analysed for GFP expression and b-galactosidase activity as described (20). The difference in the transfection efficiency between different samples is normalized by taking the ratio of the observed GFP fluorescence and b-galactosidase activity in the respective sample. The results are reported as the ratio of the observed fluorescence to b-galactosidase activity in the respective sample. To assess the effect of different upstream region of c-jun on the c-jun promoter activity, the expression level obtained with the control plasmid p123jun-eGFP, harbouring only the basal promoter of c -jun, was taken as 100%. The reported results are the average of three independent transfections performed in triplicates.

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay—The sequence of the DNA element, identified after transfection analysis was chemically synthesized from Microsynth, Switzerland. The two complimentary oligonucleotides, spanning -538 to -514 region of c -jun [(A) $5'$ -GGATGAC TTCGGGCCC-3' and (B) 5'-GGGCCCGAAGTCATCCACC GCGGCC-3['] were designed in a way to leave an overhang of eight bases upon annealing] were annealed and radiolabelled. Radiolabelling of the annealed oligonucletides with α -³²P[dCTP] by end-filling using Klenow fragment resulted in a 25 bp long oligonucleotide and therefore, is referred to as Jun-25 $_{\rm SA}$ henceforth. EMSA was carried out using fraction RNE-d and rRNE-d and radiolabelled Jun-25 $_{SA}$ as described with minor modifications (20). Varying concentrations of RNE-d [pre-incubated with 500 ng of non-specific salmon sperm DNA (SS-DNA) for 15 min] were incubated with 2 ng of labelled Jun- 25_{SA} $(\sim 40,000 \text{ c.p.m.})$ in a reaction mixture containing binding buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM NaCl, $2.5 \text{ mM } \text{MgCl}_2$, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 1.0 mM EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, 5% glycerol) in a final reaction volume of $40 \mu l$ at 30° C for 30 min , unless stated otherwise. The complex was loaded onto a pre-electrophoresed 5% non-denaturing PAGE in Tris–Glycine buffer (0.192 M Glycine, 25 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.3) at 11 V/cm. For competition experiments, the RNE-d was pre-incubated with unlabelled Jun- 25_{SA} or fragmented non-specific SS-DNA prior to the addition of labelled Jun- 25_{SA} . The products were analysed by autoradiography.

UV Cross-linking Analysis—To determine the approximate molecular mass of protein–DNA complexes, UV cross-linking techniques are routinely employed (27–29). The EMSA reaction was carried out for 30 min using 5 ng of labelled oligonucleotide, Jun-25 $_{SA}$ and 100–200 μ g of RNE-d as described (20). The reaction mixture was placed on ice and UV-irradiated (254 nm) for 15 min. Following cross-linking, the mixture was separated on 12% SDS–PAGE and analysed by autoradiography.

South-Western Blotting—South-western blotting was performed essentially as described earlier $(20, 30)$. Briefly, 50 µg of RNE-d was fractionated on 12% SDS– PAGE and transblotted onto nitrocellulose membrane. The blot was pre-incubated with the blocking solution containing non-specific DNA, prior to incubation with the radiolabelled Jun- 25_{SA} as a probe and analysed by autoradiography. The protein factor(s) that are detected by autoradiography depict the specific DNA-binding transcription factor(s).

Affinity Purification of the trans-acting Factors Interacting with -538 to -514 Region of c-jun—The purification of the trans-acting factor(s), specifically interacting with Jun- 25_{SA} was carried out using DNA affinity chromatography using cyanogen bromide- activated sepharose (CNBr-activated sepharose) as described by Kadonaga and Tijan (31). The DNA was coupled to commercially available CNBr-activated sepharose CL-4B resin and the purification steps were followed as described (20, 21). The bound protein(s) were eluted with binding buffer containing a linear gradient of NaCl. The presence of protein(s) in the eluted fractions was analysed spectrophotometrically at 280 nm. The protein fractions were frozen rapidly in liquid nitrogen and stored at -70° C till further use. Aliquots of various fractions were analysed for their ability to bind to DNA using EMSA, UV cross-linking and South-western blotting. The protein fraction(s) with the DNA binding potential were analysed on 12% SDS–PAGE.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The -563 to -273 Region of c-jun Positively Regulates Transcription from c-jun Promoter—To characterize the transcriptional regulation of c-jun, we sought to map the far upstream regions that modulate the promoter activity. Transcriptional initiation of c-jun from the core promoter has already been established but the molecular interactions that occur between the DNA-binding factors and components of the basal transcription machinery and other accessory transcription factors remain elusive. Earlier studies have suggested that binding of AP-1 to its consensus sequence significantly enhanced c-jun expression (2). Identification of a positive regulatory element in the upstream region of c -jun, spanning -148 to -124 region and its role in response to growth and proliferation have given an insight into the mechanism(s) underlying c-jun transcription (20, 21). Sites further upstream of AP-1 have also been reported to play a positive role in UV-induced expression of c-jun. In vivo genomic foot printing analysis in the UV-irradiated and TPA induced HeLa cells have led to the identification of regulatory elements that are involved in up-regulation of c-jun by these stimuli. However, such elements have been identified up to -191 bp upstream of the transcription initiation site. These investigations established the role of preformed complexes in the upstream region of c-jun that are targeted by the signal transduction cascade in response to UV irradiation and TPA treatment (17–19, 32). Considering the diverse cellular processes in which c-Jun is involved and the complexity associated with the *c-jun* expression and regulation, its transcription regulation possibly involves multiple regulatory elements and potential transcription factor binding sites. The present study was undertaken to delineate the additional regulatory sequences present upstream of this region that may have a plausible role in c -jun transcription. Transient transfection analysis of the reporter construct harbouring -563 to -273 region was carried out, for assessing its role in transcription, if any. For this purpose, the DNA fragment spanning -563 to -273 region of c-jun was cloned upstream of the c-jun promoter in plasmid p123jun-eGFP. The construct thus generated was designated as p291.123jun-eGFP. It was expected that GFP expression from the basal promoter will be altered depending on the presence of regulatory sequence(s) in this region. Transient transfection analysis using this construct (p291.123jun-eGFP) revealed that the transcription efficiency of the promoter was enhanced by \sim 120%, when compared to the control plasmid p123*jun*eGFP harbouring the basal promoter only (Fig. 1). The GFP expression in the cells transfected with plasmid p291.123jun-eGFP was far greater than that observed in the cells transfected with plasmid p148*jun*-eGFP (\sim 45%), consisting positive regulatory element $(-148 \text{ to } -124$ region cloned upstream of the basal c-jun promoter) identified earlier (20). These data thus suggest that the -563 to -273 region of c-jun is able to effectively augment transcription from c-jun basal promoter.

Transcriptional Regulation of c-jun is Multipartite and Depends on the Coordinated Interaction of Several cis-acting Elements and trans-acting Factors—Since the 291 bp region spanning -563 to -273 region of c-jun is rather large, attempts were made to further narrow down the boundaries of the regulatory sequences that are responsible for enhanced transcription from c-jun promoter. Fragments with 50 and 100 bp deletions from the $5'$ -end of the 291 bp were cloned upstream of the c -jun promoter in plasmid p123jun-eGFP. These constructs along with the reference plasmids, p123jun-eGFP and p291.123jun-eGFP, were assayed for reporter gene expression in a transient transfection analysis. Quantification of GFP, as assessed by the fluorescence

measurements, gave a clear indication of the effect of deletions harboured in the parent plasmid. As evident from the relative fluorescence observed with different constructs, each 50 bp deletion in the 291 bp region from the 5'-end affected the GFP expression differentially (Fig. 1), and thus resulted in the identification of more than one regulatory cis-acting elements.

As evident from Fig. 1, a deletion of 50 bp from the $5'$ -end in the -563 to -273 region (plasmid p291 \triangle ₅₀123*jun*-eGFP) resulted in a drastic decrease (~50%) in the GFP expression, suggesting the presence of at least one positive regulatory element within this region spanning -563 to -514 . Since the extent of stimulation observed with this fragment is \sim 50% of that obtained with the wild-type fragment and the GFP expression still remained greater than that observed with the control plasmid p123jun-eGFP, it is plausible that additional regulatory sequences may be present in the remaining 241 bp region, i.e. spanning -513 to -273 bp region. The sequence analysis of -563 to -273 region of c-jun gene revealed the presence of a sequence GTGGAAAC (between -508 and -501), which matches with the consensus sequence GTGG(AAA/TTT)G present in viral and some cellular enhancers, with just one guanine at the eighth position being replaced by cytosine (33–35). However, further deletion of the 50 bp from the 5'-end (plasmid p291 $_{\triangle 100}$ 123*jun*-eGFP), did not alter the GFP expression significantly, suggesting that the putative enhancer like sequence, GTGGAAAC, present between -508 and -501 may function cooperatively with other sequences within the 291 bp fragment to drive the expression of c-jun from the promoter. The presence of additional regulatory sequences between -463 and -273 region can not be ruled out as the plasmid p291 \land 100123juneGFP in which this region was cloned upstream of the basal c-jun promoter still exhibited much higher GFP expression $(~58%)$ than that observed with the control plasmid.

Delineation of the Positive Regulatory Element Within -563 to -514 Region of c-jun-The region spanning -563 to -514 appears to be important in c-jun transcription as its deletion resulted in an \sim 50% reduction in the GFP expression in comparison to the plasmid

Fig. 1. Effect of -563 to -273 region and its deletion variants on c-jun promoter activity. Schematic representations of various plasmids used in the transfection assay are given on the left. The plasmid drawings are not to the scale. Plasmids pCMV-GFP and p148jun-eGFP plasmid were used as positive controls. CHO cells were transfected with

equimolar concentrations of different plasmids along with 1μ g of pSV-b-gal. The data represent the ratio of the observed fluorescence to β -galactosidase activity in the respective samples. Relative fluorescence represents mean \pm SD of three independent transfections performed in triplicates with the respective plasmids.

p291.123jun-eGFP harbouring the 291 bp fragment spanning -563 to -273 region of the c-jun (Fig. 1). In order to confirm the positive regulatory role of this region, a synthetic oligonucleotide corresponding to this region was cloned upstream of the basal *c-jun* promoter in the GFP expression plasmid (p123jun50-eGFP). Plasmid p123jun50-eGFP showed a significant enhancement $(\sim 80\%)$ in the GFP expression when compared to the control plasmid p123jun-eGFP, thus confirming the positive role of this region in *c-jun* transcription.

To further delineate the positive regulatory element present within the 50 bp region spanning -563 to -514 region of the c-jun, two oligonucleotides spanning (i) the -538 to -514 region and (ii) the -563 to -539 region were cloned upstream of c-jun promoter in plasmid p123.jun-eGFP. When an oligonucleotide encompassing only the 25 bp from the 5'-end of the 50 bp positive regulatory region $(-563 \text{ to } -539)$ was cloned upstream of the c-jun basal promoter (plasmid p123jun25a-eGFP), no change in the GFP expression was observed in comparison to the basal promoter (Fig. 2). It is possible that part of the positive regulatory element was not included in the 25 bp oligonucleotide used in this clone or the regulatory activity simply does not reside in this region. However, the expression analysis of the clone harbouring the -538 to -514 region upstream of the *c-jun* promoter (p123*jun*25b-eGFP) showed $\sim 76\%$ enhancement in GFP expression, almost equivalent to that achieved with the clone harbouring the entire 50 bp element harbouring the -563 to -514 region (p123*jun*50-eGFP). The data clearly suggest that the -538 to -514 region itself is able to confer positive regulatory effect on transcription from c-jun promoter.

Collective analysis of the data obtained from transfection experiments suggests that the constructs p123jun25beGFP (harbouring -538 to -514) and p291jun-eGFP (harbouring the entire 291 bp region, i.e. -563 to -273) show \sim 80% and \sim 120% increase in GFP expression when compared to the basal promoter (Figs 1 and 2). Thus, \sim 75% of the stimulatory effect brought about by the entire 291 bp region appears to be conferred by the cisacting element(s) present between position -538 and 514, suggesting the presence of strong positive regulatory sequences in this region. Short stretches of DNA possessing enhancer/activator like activity have earlier been reported in a number of genes (36, 37).

In order to assess the effect of the -538 to -514 region on the total c-jun promoter activity, transient transfection analysis was carried out using the reporter constructs, harbouring -538 to $+53$ region of c-jun $(p538jun-eGFP)$ and -513 to $+53$ region $(p514jun-eGFP)$ of c-jun cloned upstream of the reporter gene. As evident from the Fig. 3, plasmid $p538$ jun-eGFP gave \sim 36% greater expression of the reporter gene in comparison to that observed with the p514jun-eGFP. This clearly indicates that -538 to -514 region is indeed a positive regulator, and able to augment transcription from both the total promoter (ref. plasmid p538jun-eGFP) and from the basal promoter (ref. plasmid p123jun-eGFP). Differential enhancement in the expression of the reporter gene by -538 to -514 (jun25b), when cloned alone upstream of the basal promoter $(\sim 80\%)$ or when present upstream of the whole region $(\sim]36\%)$ can be attributed to the additional positive regulatory elements (such as AP-1 like sequences, NF-jun binding site, CCAAT box element, $SP-1$ sequences) present in the whole c -jun promoter.

The positive regulatory element $(-538 \text{ to } -514)$ identified in the present study shows higher trans-activation potential in comparison to the positive regulatory element located between -148 and -124 region of the c -jun, identified earlier (20). In order to establish if the cis -acting elements present between -538 to -514 and -148 to -124 regions act synergistically or additively in enhancing the c-jun transcription, a reporter construct was made in which the Jun-25b spanning -538 to -514 region of c-jun was cloned upstream of the plasmid p148jun-eGFP. The construct p148jun25b-eGFP, thus made, consisted of both the above elements. The transient transfection analysis data with this construct shown in Fig. 3 clearly demonstrate that the two elements do not act synergistically and the enhancement observed with $p148jun25b-eGFP$ (~125%) was comparable with that observed with the p123jun25b-eGFP $(\sim 111\%)$. Thus, enhancement brought about by the -538 to -514 region remained dominant. It is possible that when the two elements are present so close together, the trans-acting factors interacting with the -148 to -124 region are not able to bind efficiently as

within the -563 to -514 region of c-jun. CHO cells were transfected with the plasmids harbouring -563 to -514 , -563 to -539 and -538 to -514 regions of *c-jun* cloned upstream of *c-jun* promoter. Schematic representations of various plasmids used are transfections performed in triplicates for each of the plasmids.

Fig. 2. Delineation of the positive regulatory element given on the left. Plasmids p123*jun*-eGFP and p148*jun*-eGFP were used as controls. The data represent the ratio of the observed fluorescence to $\upbeta\text{-galactosidase}$ activity in the respective samples. Relative fluorescence represents mean $\pm SD$ of three independent

Fig. 3. Establishment of the role of -538 to -514 region of c -jun on the total c -jun promoter activity. Transfection was carried out in CHO cells using plasmids harbouring -538 to $+53$ (p538jun-eGFP) and -514 to $+53$ (p514jun-eGFP) region of c-jun to analyse the role of Jun-25 $_{SA}$ in overall *c-jun* transcription. To assess the synergistic or additive effect of the two elements spanning -538 to -514 and -148 to -124 region of c-jun,

transfection was also carried out using chimeric promoter construct, p148jun25b-eGFP. Plasmids pCMV-GFP, p123jun25beGFP and p148jun-eGFP plasmid were used as positive controls. The data represent the ratio of the observed fluorescence to b-galactosidase activity in the respective samples. Relative fluorescence represents mean \pm SD of three independent transfections performed in triplicates with the respective plasmids.

the sequences/DNA are masked by the trans-acting factors interacting with the -538 to -514 region. Comparison of binding characteristics of the trans-acting factors interacting with the two regions $(-538 \text{ to } -514,$ this study and -148 to -124 region, earlier studies) clearly indicate that the trans-acting factors interacting with the -538 to -514 region bind with their cognate sequence with greater affinity in comparison to that interacting with the -148 to -124 region of *c-jun*.

Sequence comparison of the two regions did not show any similarities. Unlike the positive regulatory element located within -148 to -124 region, no palindromic sequences were observed in the -538 to -514 region (20); however, the presence of GGCC at both the ends of the positive regulatory element spanning -538 to -514 region was observed. These data suggest that the transcription regulation of c -jun by the element present within -538 to -514 region of c-jun is probably mediated by interaction with an entirely different set of transcription factor(s). MAPPER database analysis of the DNA sequence of -538 to -514 region revealed that this region could potentially be recognized by the cAMP response element-binding protein, CREB, a bZip family of transcription factor (Swiss Prot Acc. No: P16220), RAS-responsive element-binding protein 1 and RREB-1, a zinc-finger motif enhancer-binding protein of Homosapiens (Swiss Prot Acc. No: Q92766) (38). It is to be noted that both these factors are known to be positiveregulatory trans-acting factors of the target genes. Thus, the involvement of multiple regulatory elements further upstream of the promoter defines the complexity associated with the tight regulation underlying c-jun transcription. The present findings demonstrate that c -jun can also be regulated by cis-acting elements very distinct from the well known AP-1, SP-1 or NF-jun or RLjunRP.

Binding Characteristics of trans-acting Factor(s) Specifically Interacting with the -538 to -514 Region of c -jun—Since the 25 bp region present between -538 and -514 significantly stimulated transcription from c -jun promoter, it was important to establish if this region is recognized by sequence-specific trans-acting factor(s),

present in rat liver nuclear extract (RNE-d) if any. In order to establish this, EMSA was carried out using region-specific radiolabelled oligonucleotide, referred to as Jun- 25_{SA} henceforth. Figure 4A shows that the complex formation between the factor(s) present in the RNEd and the Jun- 25_{SA} was achieved over a wide range of nuclear protein $(25-200 \,\mu g$ nuclear protein, lanes 1-4, respectively). The fact that the trans-acting factors involved in transcription regulation are generally highly specific for its recognition sequence prompted us to determine the specificity of interaction between the Jun- 25_{SA} and the trans-acting factors present in the RNE-d. It was observed that pre-incubation of the nuclear extract with increasing concentrations of the cold oligonucleotide, Jun-25_{SA}, resulted in a corresponding decrease in the complex formation, whereas pre-incubation of nuclear extract with much higher concentrations (up to 2,000 fold) of non-specific fragmented SS-DNA did not affect the complex formation (Fig. 4B). Presence of a high intensity complex even in the absence of SS-DNA revealed significant affinity of the *trans*-acting factor(s) to its cognate recognition sequence which enables it to compete with the non-specific DNA-binding proteins present in the extract (Fig. 4B, lane 1). The specificity of the interaction between Jun-25 $_{SA}$ and trans-acting factor(s) was also adjudged by the addition of a non-specific protein (BSA) and an unrelated oligonucleotide (30 bp) prior to the addition of labelled specific oligonucleotide. Absence of any complex formation in both the cases obviated the possibility of interaction of any non-specific proteins with the Jun- 25_{SA} (data not shown). No complex formation was observed in the EMSA performed with an oligonucleotide corresponding to the -563 to -539 region of c-jun (data not shown).

As the modulation of transcription by trans-acting factors is generally brought about by interaction with the cis-acting elements, the absence of any complex between the *trans*-acting factors present in the nuclear extract and this oligonucleotide, thus, support our earlier transfection data, where this element when cloned upstream of the c-jun basal promoter failed to exert

Fig. 4. (A) Determination of optimum concentration of nuclear extract for complex formation. EMSA was performed with 2 ng of radiolabelled Jun- 25_{SA} and different concentrations (given on top) of rat liver nuclear extract fraction RNE-d. (B) Specificity of complex formation between trans-acting factor(s) present in RNE-d and Jun- 25_{SA} . EMSA was carried out using 100μ g RNE-d pre-incubated with unlabelled Jun-25_{SA} or fragmented SS-DNA prior to the addition of radiolabelled Jun- 25_{SA} in the reaction. (C) Determination of optimum monovalent ion concentration. EMSA was performed using $2 \text{ ng Jun-}25_{SA}$ and $100 \,\mu$ g RNE-d in the presence of varying concentrations of NaCl. (D and E) Determination of requirement of divalent cations for complex formation. EMSA using $2 \text{ ng Jun-}25_{SA}$ and 100μ g RNE-d

any effect on GFP expression. On the other hand, a highly specific complex between the *trans*-acting factors present in the RNE-d and the oligonucleotide spanning the -538 to -514 region supports the observed stimulatory effect of this region in transcription from c-jun promoter in transfection analysis.

DNA-binding activities of the known transcription factors are markedly modulated by the addition of monovalent and divalent cations at physiological concentrations. It has been reported that the interaction of AP-1 to its recognition site occurs at an optimum concentration of KCl and $MgCl₂$ and in a temperature-dependent manner in brain nuclear extracts (39). Binding of CREB-B-Zip domain to CRE DNA sequence in the presence of either $MgCl₂$ or KCl obliterates binding to non-consensus DNA sequences, but does not appreciably affect the binding of CREB to the CRE-binding site, suggesting the involvement of these cations for sequence-specific DNA binding (40). Therefore, role of both the monovalent and divalent cations was evaluated in the interaction of

was performed in the presence of different concentrations of $MgCl₂$ (2.5–10 mM) or EDTA (25–100 mM). (F) Thermostability of complex formation. EMSA using Jun-25 $_{SA}$ (2 ng) and RNE-d $(100 \,\mu\text{g})$ was set up over a wide range of temperature $(0-55^{\circ}\text{C})$. '45P' and '55P' lanes represent EMSA carried out with RNE-d, pre-incubated at 45° C and 55° C for 30 min, respectively. (G) Phosphorylation of *trans*-acting factors is imperative for its interaction with DNA. One-hundred micrograms of RNE-d was treated with different concentrations of CIAP (shown at the top) prior to its use in EMSA. 'HI' indicates EMSA reaction performed with RNE-d treated with 12 U of heat inactivated CIAP. 'C' in all the panels indicate the DNA–protein complex, whereas 'F' indicates unbound radiolabelled Jun- 25_{SA} .

the *trans*-acting factor(s) with the -538 to -514 region. When EMSA reactions were carried out in the presence of different concentrations of NaCl, complex formation was observed over a wide range of NaCl (50–500 mM) with an optimum complex formation in the presence of 100 mM NaCl (Fig. 4C). The factor(s) interacting with this region appears to be different from the one interacting with the positive regulatory element spanning -148 to -124 region of *c*-jun, reported earlier from our laboratory. The RLjunRP, the positive regulatory transacting factor interacted with the -148 to -124 region in the presence of up to 250 mM NaCl, and no complex formation was observed at 500 mM (20), whereas the *trans*-acting factors interacting with the -538 to -514 region were able to form the complex even in the presence of 500 mM NaCl, indicating higher affinity to its recognition sequence. It is evident that the monovalent cations are absolutely essential for complex formation as no complex was formed in the absence of NaCl (Fig. 4C, lane 1). EMSA carried out in the presence of varying concentrations of $MgCl₂$ and EDTA clearly indicated that the presence of Mg++ is not essential for complex formation as a complex could be seen even in the absence of exogenous $MgCl₂$. Addition of $MgCl₂$ at concentrations as low as 2.5 mM not only enhanced the complex formation, but also completely abolished the formation of non-specific complexes. Moll et al. have also reported that the presence of Mg^{++} in EMSA reactions prevented non-specific electrostatic interactions between the proteins and DNA, thereby reducing the non-specific complex formation by \sim 1,000-fold (40). No significant increase in the complex formation was observed with further increase in $MgCl₂$ concentrations (Fig. 4D, lanes 1–4). When EMSA was carried out in the presence of EDTA, no effect on the complex formation was observed at 25 mM EDTA. A decrease in the complex formation was observed with increasing concentrations of EDTA, i.e. 50 mM and 100 mM (Fig. 4E). It appears that 25 mM EDTA is not sufficient to chelate the divalent cations, and hence the complex formation remains almost unaffected. However, raising the EDTA concentration to 100 mM possibly resulted in chelating a fraction of the cations, and thereby affected the complex formation slightly. These data suggest that the divalent ions are not absolutely essential for complex formation. However, they may in some way, facilitate the DNA–protein interaction. Similar effect of Mg^{++} and other divalent ions (Zn^{++}) on DNA–protein interaction have been reported earlier (41, 42).

Complex formation at varying temperature conditions suggested that the interaction of $Jun-25_{SA}$ with the specific trans-acting factor(s) is highly sensitive to the changes in temperature. The complex was quite stable in temperatures ranging from 4° C to 25° C (Fig. 4F, lanes 1–3). The complex formation reduced drastically at 37°C (lane 4) and was completely abolished at 45° C (lane 5). This is in accordance with the complex forming abilities of other transcription factors that become totally inactivated at 47° C within 15 min (43). To establish that whether this sudden decrease was due to inactivation of proteins in the fractionated RNE-d or due to the adverse effect on the interaction of protein and $Jun-25_{SA}$ at higher temperatures, the RNE-d was incubated at 45° C and 55° C for 30 min prior to EMSA at standard conditions (lanes 7 and 8). These data suggest that failure of complex formation at higher temperatures was due to the inactivation of protein factors (Fig. 4F).

Activity of transcription factors is generally regulated by phosphorylation and dephosphorylation. Their switch 'on' or 'off' state mediated by either phosphorylation or dephosphorylation can have variable consequences on the downstream effector pathways (44). In CAAT/enhancer-binding protein homologous transcription factor (CHOP), phosphorylation at different site has been reported to have entirely opposite effects on its trans-activation potential (45). While p38-MAP kinase mediated phosphorylation at serine 79 and serine 81 augmented the transcriptional activity of CHOP, phosphorylation of its amino terminus by casein kinase II inhibited its transcriptional activity (45, 46). Therefore, it was imperative to assess whether the trans-acting factor(s) interact with the 25 bp cis-regulatory element of c-jun either in phosphorylated or dephosphorylated state.

A gradual decrease in the intensity of the complex formation upon dephosphorylation of the rat nuclear extract proteins using CIAP, suggests that the trans-acting factors bind to their cognate DNA only in the phosphorylated form (Fig. 4G, lanes 2, 3, 5 and 6). Denaturation of CIAP prior to its addition in EMSA reaction did not affect the complex formation (lane 4), thereby confirming that the decrease in the intensity of the complex formation in the presence of native CIAP is indeed due to dephosphorylation of the specific protein factor (s) interacting with Jun- 25_{SA} . Our findings are also in line with earlier reports where dephosphorylation completely inhibited the binding activity of RLjunRP with its recognition sequence (20).

The trans-acting Factor(s) Interacts with the -538 to 514 Region of c-jun Through Minor Groove Binding— To address whether the trans-acting factor(s) interact with DNA in the major groove or minor groove, the influence of site specific drugs on complex formation was analyzed. It was observed that low concentrations of distamycin A $(0.1-10 \mu M)$, a minor groove binding drug, did not affect the DNA–protein interaction when compared to the control (Fig. 5A, lanes 12–17). However, $25 \mu M$ distamycin A completely inhibited the complex formation (lane 18). It is likely that distamycin A inhibits the complex formation either by competing for binding to the minor groove of DNA or by altering the local DNA conformation which weakens the binding affinity of the trans-acting factors. In contrast, methyl green, a major groove binding drug, did not alter the complex formation even at concentrations as high as $200 \mu M$, when compared to the control, confirming that the protein– DNA adduct formed is indeed via minor groove interactions (Fig. 5A, lanes 1–10). Other DNA binding proteins such as TATA-box-binding protein, integration host factor (IHF), high mobility group $I(Y)[HMG I(Y)]$ and the HMG-box-containing proteins, SRY and LEF-1 have also been reported to interact with their target sites exclusively through minor groove contacts (47, 48).

The minimal region required for complex formation within the $Jun25_{SA}$, was determined by performing EMSA with oligonucleotides consisting of 10 bp deletion from either the 5' or the 3'-ends in the Jun25_{SA} (Jun25_{SA} -1 and $Jun25_{SA} - 2$, respectively). The *trans*-acting factors interacting with the Jun- 25_{SA} were unable to form complex with the deletion variants of the Jun- 25_{SA} (Fig. 5B). The absence of an adduct formation with either of the deleted oligonucleotides clearly reflects that the binding of the specific trans-acting factor(s) to the 25 bp region is a result of the nuclear protein association over the full length of the DNA.

Molecular Mass Determination of the trans-acting Factors Interacting with the -538 to -514 Region of c-jun—UV cross-linking analysis of the DNA–protein adducts formed between the Jun- 25_{SA} and trans-acting factors revealed presence of three complexes of approximate molecular mass of ${\sim}80\,\text{kDa},\,{\sim}45\,\text{kDa}$ and ${\sim}34\,\text{kDa},$ the \sim 80 kDa adduct being of the maximum intensity (Fig. 6A). The data demonstrated that the two proteins of \sim 45 kDa and \sim 34 kDa are able to interact with the $Jun-25_{SA}$ independently, albeit a weaker interaction is reflected for the \sim 45 kDa protein. The majority of the

Fig. 5. Binding site determination of the trans-acting factors interacting with the -538 to -514 region of c -jun. (A) Effect of distamycin A, Dist. A and methyl green, MG on complex formation. Standard EMSA reactions were carried out using $2 \text{ ng of Jun-}25_{SA}$ and $100 \mu\text{g RNE-d}$ in the presence of different concentrations (micromolar) of distamycin A or methyl

Fig. 6. Molecular mass determination of trans-acting factors interacting with -538 to -514 region of c-jun. (A) UV cross-linking analysis. Standard EMSA was performed using $5 \text{ ng radiolabelled Jun-25}_{SA}$ and 200μ g BSA and RNE-d (lanes 1 and 2, respectively). The reaction products were UVirradiated at 254 nm as described in the MATERIALS AND METHODS section and separated on 12% SDS–PAGE. The arrows points to the UV cross-linked complexes formed between $trans\text{-}acting factor(s) present in RNE-d and Jun-25_{SA} (lane 2). F$ indicates unbound radiolabelled Jun- 25_{SA} . Migration of protein molecular weight marker (kiloDaltons) is shown on left. (B) SDS–PAGE analysis of RNE-d: lane M and lane 1 indicate protein molecular weight markers and RNE-d, respectively. Proteins were detected by staining the gel with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250. (C) South-western blot analysis of RNE-d. Proteins present in RNE-d (lane 1) resolved on 12% SDS–PAGE were transferred to nitrocellulose membrane and hybridized with radiolabeled Jun-25_{SA}. Arrow points to the hybridized bands. Unstained mid-range protein molecular weight marker was used in (A and B), whereas broad range pre-stained protein molecular weight marker was used in (C) . Migration of the molecular weight marker is shown on the right (kDa).

cross-linked species at $\sim 80 \, \text{kDa}$ could possibly be due to a hetero/homodimer of the two proteins of \sim 45 kDa and \sim 34 kDa, or due to an interaction of the Jun-25 $_{\rm SA}$ with yet another protein of $\sim80\,\mathrm{kDa}$.

green. The reaction products were separated on 5% nondenaturing PAGE. (B) EMSA with deletion variants of Jun- 25_{SA} . Standard EMSA reactions using 2_{ng} of Jun- 25_{SA} and its deletion variants and 100 μ g RNE-d. Jun-25_{SA}-1 and Jun-25_{SA}-1 represent oligonucleotides with 10 bp deletions in the Jun- 25_{SA} from the 5'- and 3'-ends, respectively.

In order to determine if the Jun- 25_{SA} interacts directly with the proteins of \sim 45 kDa and \sim 34 kDa or also with a protein of $\sim 80 \text{ kDa}$, South-western blot analysis was carried out using RNE-d and Jun- 25_{SA} as a probe. SDS– PAGE analysis of fraction RNE-d clearly shows the presence of large number of nuclear proteins (Fig. 6B). However, only two bands at ${\sim}45\, \text{kDa}$ and ${\sim}34\, \text{kDa}$ were detected in South-western blot analysis of the same using the Jun- 25_{SA} a probe (Fig. 6C). In addition, small molecular mass complex at a position lower than \sim 20 kDa was detected which could possibly be due to the interaction of Jun-25 $_{SA}$ with the histone/non-histone chromatin proteins abundant in nuclear extracts, which have the general ability to bind to the DNA. Further, the absence of any DNA adduct at the positions lower than \sim 20 kDa in the UV cross-linking analysis suggest that these low molecular mass proteins detected in South-western blot are indeed due to non-specific interaction. Absence of any-80 kDa band in the South-western blot ruled out the possibility of interaction of Jun- 25_{SA} with a protein of molecular mass of $\sim 80 \text{ kDa}$. Thus, from the Southwestern blot analysis and UV cross-linking data, it can be inferred that the $\sim80\,\text{kDa}$ adduct detected in UV cross-linking is due to the formation of either hetero or a homodimer of the two proteins of \sim 45 and/or \sim 34 kDa. Many transcription factors exert their transcriptional activity either through homodimerization or heterodimerization with a protein partner. AP-1, NFkB, NF-jun and v-erbA are only to name a few of the transcription factors that activate transcription of the target genes through binding of a dimer to their recognition sequence (6, 49–51). The activity of a transcription factor can altogether be different depending on the dimerization partner.

DNA-affinity Purification of the trans-acting Factors Interacting with the -538 to -514 Region of c-jun-Purification of the trans-acting factor(s) interacting with Jun-25 $_{SA}$ from the fractionated RNE-d was accomplished

Fig. 7. Affinity purification of the trans-acting factors interacting with -538 to -514 region of c-jun. (A) Spectroscopic analysis of protein fractions. Fraction RNE-d was subjected to sequence specific DNA-affinity chromatography. Fractions 4–7 (1 ml each) represent wash fractions (four washes with buffer containing 75 mM NaCl). The bound proteins were batch eluted with buffer containing 0.1–1.2 M NaCl. All the fractions were analysed spectrophotometrically. A_{280} of different fractions was measured and plotted. (B) Analysis of complex forming ability of different fractions obtained from DNA-affinity chromatography. Standard EMSA reactions were performed using different fractions and radiolabeled Jun-25 $_{SA}$. ["]C" represents EMSA performed with RNE-d used for affinity chromatography. FT indicates flow-through fraction. The numbers on the top represent the fraction number and at the bottom represent

by DNA-affinity chromatography. The proteins bound to 25 bp oligonucleotide were eluted with different concentrations of NaCl (Fig. 7A). Major peak fractions were observed between 0.4 M and 0.7 M NaCl. EMSA using different fractions revealed that the proteins eluting at NaCl concentrations lower than 0.5 M did not show any complex formation with radiolabelled Jun-25 $_{SA}$ (Fig. 7B). Presence of specific complexes in fractions eluting with $0.6-0.7$ M NaCl confirmed the presence of transacting factors specifically interacting with the Jun- 25_{SA}

salt concentration in the respective fraction. (C) SDS–PAGE analysis of affinity purified fractions. Different fractions (fraction number given on top of the gel) obtained from DNA-affinity chromatography were resolved on 12% SDS–PAGE and subjected to silver staining. 'L' indicates load fraction RNE-d and 'M' indicates protein molecular weight marker. (D). Coomassie brilliant blue staining of affinity purified proteins on SDS–PAGE. The fractions 14 and 15 eluted with 0.6 M and 0.7 M NaCl, respectively and exhibited the complex forming ability, were pooled and resolved on 12% SDS–PAGE and analysed by coomassie brilliant blue staining. 'P' indicates the pooled purified fractions 14 and 15. 'M' indicates the mid range protein molecular weight marker. The arrow points to the predominant \sim 34 kDa protein that interacted with Jun-25_{SA}. 'kDa' indicates the migration of protein marker.

(Fig. 7B, lanes 6 and 7). The elution of specific DNAbinding proteins at concentrations higher than 500 mM NaCl was in line with the EMSA carried out at varying NaCl concentrations (refer to Fig. 4C). SDS–PAGE analysis of the fractions exhibiting the ability to form complex with $Jun-25_{SA}$ revealed the presence of a predominant band at $\sim 34 \text{ kDa}$ in these fractions. A band at \approx 45 kDa could also be seen in these lanes (Fig. 7C, lanes 6 and 7). It is to be noted that a faint band at the \sim 45 kDa position could also be seen in the fraction

eluting at 0.4 M NaCl (Fig. 7C, lane 5), whereas majority of the \sim 34kDa protein was eluted with 0.6M and 0.7 M NaCl. An additional band at a position higher than \sim 45 kDa in the fraction eluted with 0.4 M NaCl appears to be non-specific, as its absence in 0.6 M NaCl and 0.7 M NaCl fractions did not affect the complex formation. Coomassie staining of the proteins present in fraction showing specific complex formation (eluted with 0.6 and 0.7 M NaCl) on an SDS–PAGE, electrophoresed for a shorter period, confirmed the predominance of the \sim 34 kDa protein in the fractions (Fig. 7D, lane P'). These data indicate that the \sim 34 kDa protein is more abundant in the nuclear extract in comparison to the \sim 45 kDa protein. Absence of \sim 80 kDa protein in the affinity purified fractions further confirmed that the -80 kDa DNA–protein adduct detected in the UV cross-linked sample is not due to the interaction of Jun- 25_SA with a ${\sim}80\,\mathrm{kDa}$ protein and rather due to hetero/ homodimerization of the \sim 45 kDa and \sim 34 kDa transacting factors. It appears that the \sim 34 kDa protein is not only abundant in the nuclear extract but also interacts more strongly than the ${\sim}45\, \text{kDa}$ protein, as the ${\sim}45\, \text{kDa}$ band could elute at much lower NaCl concentration during affinity purification. Also, the DNA–protein adduct at \sim 45kDa position is much weaker than that at the \sim 34 kDa position in UV cross-linking analysis. It is possible that it is the \sim 34kDa protein that interacts with the Jun-25_{SA} directly, and the \sim 45 kDa protein interacts both with the DNA and also with the \sim 34 kDa protein complexed with the DNA, thus giving rise to a \sim 80 kDa DNA–protein adduct. Identification of the two proteins and their production through recombinant route will help ascertain if this is the case or that the \sim 80 kDa adduct is due to the binding of \sim 34 kDa dimer to Jun-25 $_{\text{SA}}$.

It has also been reported earlier that different transacting factors interact with cis-acting element under different physiological conditions and may vary among different cell types (20, 49). Therefore, EMSA using nuclear extracts prepared from normal and regenerating liver was performed to determine if the -538 to -514 region involved in the positive regulation of c-jun is differentially recognized by factors present in quiescent and proliferating rat liver. However, no difference in the complexes was noted between the two (data not shown), suggesting that unlike the -148 to -124 region (20), the -538 to -514 region of c-jun is not involved in enhanced c-jun expression in proliferating cells. Being a component of the transcription factor AP-1, c-Jun plays a crucial role in cell proliferation, differentiation and cell death; it is likely that the trans-acting factor(s) interacting with the -538 to -514 region could be involved in regulation of c-jun in a cellular condition(s) other than proliferation.

Earlier studies have analysed the upstream regions of c-jun only up to 290 bp from the transcription initiation site for their recognition by the trans-acting factors. The present study has thus established the -538 to -514 region of *c*-jun as a positive regulatory *cis*-acting element involved in the transcriptional regulation of c -jun, adding to the existing knowledge of the highly complex molecular switches, indispensable for c-jun regulation under different physiological conditions. Large-scale

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India, is duly acknowledged for the Senior Research Fellowship to S.A. and Rajkumar. UGC is acknowledged for the research fellowship to P.G. Technical Assistance provided by Mr Amresh Kumar Singh and Mr Umesh Roy is sincerely appreciated. The animal experiments carried out in this work had the approval of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee, JNU (IAEC-JNU Project Code No. 01/2005).

FUNDING

Department of Biotechnology (DBT) and University Grants Commission (UGC), India.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. Curran, T. and Franza, B.R. (1988) Fos and Jun: the AP-1 connection. Cell 55, 395–397
- 2. Vogt, P.K. and Bos, T.J. (1990) Jun: oncogene and transcription factor. Adv. Cancer Res. 55, 1–35
- 3. Angel, P. and Karin, M. (1991) The role of Jun, Fos and AP-1 complex in cell proliferation and transformation. Biochem. Biophys. Acta 1072, 129–157
- 4. Jochum, W., Passegue, E., and Wagner, E.F. (2001) AP-1 in mouse development and tumorigenesis. Oncogene 20, 2401–2412
- 5. Shaulian, E. and Karin, M. (2001) AP-1 in cell proliferation and survival. Oncogene 20, 2390–2400
- 6. Shaulian, E. and Karin, M. (2002) AP-1 as a regulator of cell life and death. Nat. Cell Biol. 4, E131–E136
- 7. Weiss, C. and Bohmann, D. (2004) Deregulated repression of c-Jun provides a potential link to its role in tumorogenesis. Cell Cycle 3, 111–113
- 8. Dam, H.V. and Castellazzi, M. (2001) Distinct roles of Jun: Fos and Jun: ATF dimers in oncogenesis. Oncogene 20, 2453–2464
- 9. Wisdom, R., Johnson, R.S., and Moore, C. (1999) c-Jun regulates cell cycle progression and apoptosis by distinct mechanisms. EMBO J. 18, 188–197
- 10. Hartl, M., Bader, A.G., and Bister, K. (2003) Molecular targets of the oncogenic transcription factor Jun. Curr. Cancer Drug Targets 3, 41–55
- 11. Karin, M., Liu, Z.G., and Zandi, E. (1997) AP-1 function and regulation. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 9, 240–246
- 12. Kharbanda, S.M., Sherman, M.L., and Kufe, D.W. (1990) Transcriptional Regulation of c-jun gene expression by Arabinofuranosylcytosine in human myeloid leukemia cells. J. Clin. Invest. 86, 1517–1523
- 13. Adunyah, S.E., Unlap, T.M., Wagner, F., and Kraft, A.S. (1991) Regulation of c -jun expression and AP-1 enhancer activity by granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor. J. Biol. Chem. 266, 5670–5675
- 14. Gaynor, R., Simon, K., and Koeffler, P. (1991) Expression of c-jun during macrophage differentiation of HL-60 cells. Blood 77, 2618–2623
- 15. Angel, P., Hattori, K., Smeal, T., and Karin, M. (1988) The jun protooncogene is positively autoregulated by its product, Jun/AP-1. Cell 55, 875–885
- 16. Wisdom, R., Johnson, R.S., and Moore, C. (1999) c-jun regulates cell cycle progression and apoptosis by distinct mechanisms. EMBO J. 8, 188–197
- 17. Devary, Y., Gottleib, R.A., Smeal, T., and Karin, M. (1991) Rapid and preferential activation of the c-jun gene during the mammalian UV response. Mol. Cell. Biol. 11, 2804–2811
- 18. Devary, Y., Gottleib, R.A., Smeal, T., and Karin, M. (1992) The mammalian ultraviolet response is triggered by activation of Src tyrosine kinase. Cell 71, 1081–1091
- 19. Rozek, D. and Pfeifer, G.P. (1993) In vivo protein-DNA interactions at the c-jun promoter: preformed complexes mediate the UV response. \dot{M} ol. Cell. Biol. 13, 5490–5499
- 20. Sharma, D., Ohri, S., and Dixit, A. (2003) The -148 to -124 region of c-jun interacts with a positive regulatory factor in rat liver and enhances transcription. Eur. J. Biochem. 270, 181–189
- 21. Ohri, S., Sharma, D., and Dixit, A. (2004) Interaction of an \sim 40 kDa protein from regenerating rat liver with the -148 to -124 region of c -jun complexed with RLjunRP coincides with enhanced *c-jun* expression in proliferating rat liver. Eur. J. Biochem. 271, 4892–4902
- 22. Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E.F., and Maniatis, T. (1989) Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual, pp. 1339–1341. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY
- 23. Sharma, D., Choudhary, S.K., and Dixit, A. (1998) In vitro transcription of c-jun gene using fractionated nuclear extract from regenerating rat liver. Biochem. Mol. Biol. Int. 44, 1175–1185
- 24. Higgins, G.M. and Anderson, R.M. (1931) Experimental pathology of the liver. I. Restoration of the liver of the white rat following partial surgical removal. Arch. Pathol. 12, 186–202
- 25. Chalfie, M., Tu, Y., Euskirchen, G., Ward, W.W., and Prasher, D.C. (1994) Green fluorescent protein as a marker for gene expression. Science 263, 802–805
- 26. Subramanian, S. and Sreine, F. (1996) Quantitative analysis of transient gene expression in mammalian cells using the green fluorescent protein. J. Biotechnol. 49, 137–151
- 27. Boulikas, T. (1986) Protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions in calf thymus nuclear matrix using cross-linking by ultraviolet irradiation. Biochem. Cell Biol. 64, 474–484
- 28. Ho, D.T., Sauve, D.M., and Roberge, M. (1994) Detection and isolation of DNA-binding proteins using single pulse ultraviolet laser crosslinking. Anal. Biochem. 218, 248–254
- 29. Wolf, S.S., Roder, K., and Schweizer, M. (1995) Determination of the molecular weight of DNA-binding proteins using UV-crosslinking and SDS-PAGE. Mol. Biotechnol. 4, 269–273
- 30. Phillipe, J. (1994) The south western assay. In Methods in Molecular Biology, Protocols for Gene Analysis, 31(Harwood, A.J., ed.), pp. 349–361. Humana Press Inc., Totowa, NJ
- 31. Kadonaga, J.T. and Tijan, R. (1986) Affinity purification of sequence-specific DNA binding protein. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 83, 5889–5893
- 32. Herr, I., Van Dam, H., and Angel, P. (1994) Binding of promoter-associated AP-1 is not altered during induction and subsequent repression of the c-jun promoter by TPA and UV irradiation. Carcinogenesis 15, 1105–1113
- 33. Boshart, M., Weber, F., Jahn, G., Dorsch-Hasler, K., Fleckenstein, B., and Schaffner, W. (1985) A very strong enhancer is located upstream of an immediate early gene of human cytomegalovirus. Cell 41, 521–530
- 34. Haslinger, A. and Karin, M. (1985) Upstream promoter element of the human metallothionein-IIA gene can act

like an enhancer element. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 82, 8572–8576

- 35. Lusky, M., Berg, L., Weiher, H., and Botchan, M. (1983) Bovine papilloma virus contains an activator of gene expression at the distal end of the early transcription unit. Mol. Cell. Biol. 3, 1108–1122
- 36. Nagyova, J., Pastorek, J., and Kopacek, J. (2004) Identification of the critical cis-acting elements in the promoter of the mouse Prnd gene coding for Doppel protein. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1679, 288–293
- 37. Geiger, A., Salazar, G., Cam, A.L., and Kervran, A. (2001) Characterization of an enhancer element in the proximal promoter of the mouse glucagon receptor gene. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1517, 236–242
- 38. Marinescu, V.D., Kohane, I.S., and Riva, A. (2005) The MAPPER database: a multi-genome catalog of putative transcription factor binding sites. Nucleic Acids Res. 33, D91–D97
- 39. Ogita, K. and Yoneda, Y. (1995) Detection of DNA binding activities of transcription factors with different protein motifs in nuclear extracts of murine brain by using gel-retardation electrophoresis. J. Neurochem. 64, 1431–1439
- 40. Moll, J.R., Acharya, A., Gal, J., Mir, A.A., and Vinson, C. (2002) Magnesium is required for specific DNA binding of the CREB B-ZIP domain. Nucleic Acids Res. 30, 1240–1246
- 41. Wingender, E., Dilloo, D., and Seifart, K.H. (1984) Zinc ions are differentially required for the transcription of ribosomal 5S RNA and tRNA in a HeLa-cell extract. Nucleic Acids Res. 12, 8971–8985
- 42. Bendall, A.J. and Molloy, P.L. (1994) Base preferences for DNA binding by the bHLH-Zip protein USF: effect of MgCl2 and on specificity and comparison with binding of Myc family members. Nucleic Acids Res. 22, 2801–2810
- 43. Nakajima, N., Horikoshi, M., and Roeder, R.G. (1988) Factors involved in specific transcription by mammalian RNA polymerase II: purification, genetic specificity and TATA-box promoter interactions of TFIID. Mol. Cell. Biol. 8, 4028–4040
- 44. Hunter, T. and Karin, M. (1992) The regulation of transcription by phosphorylation. Cell 70, 375–387
- 45. Wang, X.Z. and Ron, D. (1996) Stress-induced phosphorylation and activation of the transcription factor CHOP (GADD153) by p38 MAP Kinase. Science 272, 1347–1349
- 46. Ubeda, M. and Habener, J.F. (2003) CHOP transcription factor phosphorylation by casein kinase 2 inhibits transcriptional activation. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 40514–40520
- 47. Bewley, A.C., Gronenborn, A.M., and Clore, G.M. (1998) Minor groove-binding architectural proteins: structure, function, and dna recognition. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. 27, 105–131
- 48. Chiang, S.Y., Welch, J., Rauscher, F.J., and Beerman, T.A. (1994) Effects of minor groove binding drugs on the interaction of TATA box binding protein and TFIIA on DNA. Biochemistry 33, 7033–7040
- 49. Brach, M.A., Herrmann, F., Yamada, H., Bauerele, P.A., and Kufe, D.W. (1992) Identification of NF-jun, a novel inducible transcription factor that regulates c-jun gene transcription. EMBO J. 11, 1479–1486
- 50. Sengchanthalangsy, L.L., Datta, S., Huang, D.B., Anderson, E., Braswell, E.H., and Ghosh, G. (1999) Characterization of the dimer interface of transcription factor NfkappaB p50 homodimer. J. Mol. Biol. 289, 1029–1040
- 51. Shen, Q. and Subauste, J.S. (2000) Dimerization interfaces of v-erbA homodimers and heterodimers with retinoid X receptor alpha. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 41018–41027